1		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI	
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 17-03014-01-CR-S-RK
5	Plaintiff,) Springfield, Missouri) October 11, 2017
6	v.))
7	DOMINIC KEITH PEARSON,)
8	Defendant.	,)
9		.)
10	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON CHANGE OF PLEA BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID P. RUSH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		5 INICIDITATE 5050E
12	APPEARANCES:	
13		Ms. Ami Harshad Miller Assistant United States Attorney 901 St. Louis St., Ste. 500
14		Springfield, MO 65806 (417) 831-4406
15	For the Defendant:	Mr. Ian A. Lewis
16		Federal Public Defender's Office 901 St. Louis St., Ste. 800
17		Springfield, MO 65806 (417) 873-9022
18		
19	Court Audio Operator:	Ms. Karla Berziel
20	Transcribed by:	Rapid Transcript Lissa C. Whittaker
21		1001 West 65th Street
		Kansas City, MO 64113 (816) 914-3613
22		
23		
24	Dragondings magazided by alestropic sound was adding the	
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.	

(Court in Session at 9:30 a.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Calling in United States vs. Dominic Keith The defendant appears in person along with his attorney, Mr. Ian Lewis. The United States appears by Assistant United States Attorney, Ms. Ami Miller. This matter is set this morning for a change of plea to the Superseding Indictment returned against this defendant on May 11th of 2017. Mr. Pearson, you have signed a consent to have these proceedings for a plea of guilty before a Magistrate Judge, with the understanding that a United States District Judge, a judge of higher jurisdiction, will keep your case for acceptance of the plea of guilty and sentencing. Even though you signed this consent you have a right, if you wish, to appear before a United States District Judge, a judge of higher jurisdiction, for these proceedings. At any appearance before the District Judge, you're presumed innocent until such time, if ever, as the Government establishes your quilt beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of the judge or jury. You always have a right to confront -- you have the right to be present and to confront and cross-examine witnesses. You have a right to use the power of the court to subpoena evidence on your behalf and you have a right to testify or not testify as you would choose. And if you chose not to testify it would not be held against you as that is your right. If, after understanding the charges against you, the range of punishment, if convicted, and your right to appear

before a District Judge, if you wish, you may waive or give up that right and proceed this morning before the Magistrate Judge. As I indicated, you have signed such a consent. Do you understand that you have a right to appear before a United States District Judge, a judge of higher jurisdiction, for these proceedings?

MR. PEARSON: I do.

THE COURT: And is it your desire to give up that right and proceed this morning before the Magistrate Judge?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pearson, do you understand the charge against you in Count One of the Indictment in this case?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And I'm referring to the Superseding

Indictment. Do you understand that if convicted of the charge in

Count One, that the minimum penalty the court may impose is not

less than 10 years imprisonment, while the maximum penalty the

court may impose is not more than life imprisonment, not more

than a \$250,000 fine, not less than five years supervised release

or a life term of supervised release, and a \$100 mandatory

special assessment?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: To the charge in Count One of the Superseding Indictment, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?

MR. PEARSON: Guilty.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charge against you in Count Two of the Superseding Indictment in this case?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if convicted of the charge in Count Two, that the minimum penalty the court may impose is not less than 15 years imprisonment, while the maximum penalty the court may impose is not more than 30 years imprisonment, not more than a \$250,000 fine, not less than five years supervised release or a life term of supervised release, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: To the charge in Count Two, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?

MR. PEARSON: Guilty.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charge against you in Count Three of the Superseding Indictment in this case?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if convicted of the charge in Count Three, that the minimum penalty the court may impose is not less than 10 years imprisonment, while the maximum penalty the court may impose is not more than life imprisonment, not more than a \$250,000 fine, not less than five years supervised release or a life term of supervised release, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'll have to just speak up. I can hear you, but it's being transcribed for us.

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. And to the charge in Count Three, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?

MR. PEARSON: Guilty.

THE COURT: Do you understand the charge against you in Count Four of the Superseding Indictment in this case?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if convicted of the charge in Count Four, that the maximum penalty the court may impose is not more than 30 years imprisonment, not more than a \$250,000 fine, not less than five years supervised release or a life term of supervised release, and a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: To the charge in Count Four of the Superseding Indictment, how do you wish to plead, guilty or not guilty?

MR. PEARSON: Guilty.

THE COURT: Would you please stand and raise your right hand as best you can?

DOMINIC KEITH PEARSON, DEFENDANT, SWORN

THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up.

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. And we'll bring that microphone over a little bit to you. And you can put your hand down now,

Mr. Pearson. Has anyone made any threat of any kind to force you to plead guilty or to give up any of the other rights we've discussed this morning?

MR. PEARSON: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise of any kind to induce you or overcome your will to get you to plead guilty or give up any of the other rights we've discussed?

MR. PEARSON: No.

THE COURT: I mentioned to you that there was a supervised release term of not less than five years or up to a life term of supervised release that could be imposed in your case as to Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the Superseding Indictment. Do you understand that if those terms were imposed and then revoked for any reason, that you could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment of not more than five years as to Counts One and Three and not more than three years as to Counts Two and Four, unless the provisions of 18 U.S.C.

Section 3583(k) supersede Section 3583(e)(3) in which case the court must impose a sentence of not less than five years as to each of those counts, and if that happened, you would receive no credit for any other time you had spent either in custody or on release?

(Off Record: Attorney-Client Discussion) 1 2 MR. PEARSON: Yes, I understand. 3 THE COURT: And do you understand that the court -- the District Court could then impose an additional term of supervised 4 release, which would be governed by the maximum of the statute 5 6 pertaining to each count, minus any time you'd spent in custody 7 as a result of a violation? 8 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand, Mr. Pearson, that from a 10 sentence imposed in your case that there is no parole? 11 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that there are Sentencing 13 Guidelines to which the District Court would refer to in an 14 advisory capacity when attempting to fashion a reasonable 15 sentence in your case? 16 MR. PEARSON: Yes, I do. 17 THE COURT: Have you discussed the guidelines with Mr. 18 Lewis? 19 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And again, I'm going to have you speak up. 21 MR. PEARSON: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. And do you understand them?

THE COURT: Do you understand that the final decision as

to how the guidelines are calculated and ultimately what sentence

23

24

25

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

will be imposed rests with the District Judge?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: If the District Judge would calculate the guidelines differently from what you've discussed with Mr. Lewis, that fact would not give you the right to withdraw or change your plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Once the District Judge establishes the advisory guideline range, in some circumstances, you could be sentenced above that range and, in other circumstances, below that range. And again, the judge's decision, if you disagreed, would not give you the right to withdraw your plea of guilty. Do you understand that?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Now, Mr. Pearson, do you understand that as a result of your conviction for the offenses set forth in Counts One, Two, Three and Four that you will be required to register as a sex offender under both state and federal law?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Mr. Pearson, you have a right to a trial by jury with all the protections that I explained to you at the beginning of these proceedings. Do you understand your right to a trial by jury?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if the court

accepts your pleas of guilty that there won't be a trial?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask you about the offenses charged in Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the Superseding Indictment. I would remind you that you are under oath. You must answer truthfully. Any false answers could result in charges of false swearing or perjury. You always have the right to remain silent. And I want you to listen carefully because in just a moment I'm going to ask the attorney for the United States to state for the record the evidence that she believes that she could present at trial to prove or establish your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt to the satisfaction of the judge or jury. After she's finished, I'm going to ask you if you, in fact, did the things that she states for the record that she believes she can prove beyond a reasonable doubt. Ms. Miller?

MS. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. If this case were to go to trial, the Government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt that on January 8th of 2017, an individual identified as G.W. contacted law enforcement to report that 13-year-old Jane Doe was missing. Law enforcement responded to a location in Greene County, Missouri, a location within the Western District of Missouri, to take a report. G.W. and J.W. reported that Jane Doe was last seen at 11:40 a.m. that day. At approximately 1:45 p.m., G.W. was unable to locate Jane Doe in their residence. G.W. and J.W. stated that Jane Doe had no cell phone of her own.

On January 9th, 2017, Springfield Police Department Officer K. Friend, F-R-I-E-N-D, was assigned the case for follow-up investigation. Officer Friend contacted the Ws and the Ws told Officer Friend that Jane Doe had used G.W.'s cell phone which was an LG L15G Sunrise and J.W.'s cell phone, a Samsung SM-S765C. The Ws gave Officer Friend consent to seize and search their cell phones. On January 10th, 2017, Springfield Police Department Computer Forensic Analyst Michael Costello began his forensic examination of G.W.'s LG L15G Sunrise cellular phone. During the examination, CFA Costello, C-O-S-T-E-L-L-O, located messages sent between Jane Doe and a user identified as D-A-R-K-L-E-S-T-A-T L-12 W-P on the --

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Ms. Miller, if I could stop you for just a second. We may have an issue with our recording. Sorry to interrupt you but this is being recorded so it can be transcribed and I think we just experienced some technical difficulty. you all can be seated while we wait.

(Off Record Talking)

THE COURT: All right. Well, we are back on the record. We're up and operational. Sorry, Ms. Miller. If you would proceed. Thank you.

MS. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go back starting from during the examination, CFA Costello located messages sent between Jane Doe and a user identified as D-A-R-K-L-E-S-T-A-T L-W-P on KIK application. The user's screen name was Blackheart and the registered email address was dominicp2011@gmail.com. The messages were sent between January 5^{th} of 2017, and January 7^{th} of 2017. The following are examples of messages sent by darklestat lwp to Jane Doe. "I am on the way to you, we are going to meet up with our ride." "What school u go to". "Will you marry me at 18". "As long as fuck me when I want and be a good sex toy." "East side of Nebraska, I am working my way there." "I can't wait to be with u and marry u." "I want to be there tonight so im just going" -- I'm sorry --"gonna push through." Law enforcement determined the telephone number used by the KIK user darklestat lwp to be 772-302-0148. On January 10th, 2017, FBI Task Force Officer Jeff Burnett, B-U-R-N-E-T-T, requested and received subscriber information from Google for an email address of the dominicp2011@gmail.com. email was registered to Dominic Pearson, the defendant. His last reported log-on date was on January 10th, 2017, at which time he utilized IP address 70.195.8.38. Task Force Officer Burnett also confirmed that G.W.'s LG cellular phone was manufactured outside the state of Missouri and would have had to cross state lines. Furthermore, KIK utilizes the Internet to function. On January 10^{th} , 2017, Jane Doe and Pearson were located by the Oak Grove, Missouri, Police Department, which is a location within the Western District of Missouri. Oak Grove Police Department Officers located Pearson and Jane Doe in a trucker's lounge at the Petro, P-E-T-R-O, Truck Stop located off of Interstate 70.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Both Pearson and Jane Doe had luggage with them in the lounge. Officers seized a Samsung Galaxy J3 cell phone from Pearson. Officers also seized a green duffle bag, a large blue luggage bag, a medium blue luggage bad and a small blue luggage bag that were located with Pearson and Jane Doe. On January 11th, 2017, Jane Doe was transported to Children's Mercy Hospital in Kansas City. Children's Mercy Hospital Social Worker Tammy Kamp, K-A-M-P, interviewed Jane Doe. Jane Doe stated that Pearson had bought her food and clothing and they had had sex. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Tracy Mead, M-E-A-D, examined and spoke with Jane Doe. Jane Doe told SANE Nurse Mead that Pearson penetrated her vagina with his penis and finger and she performed oral sex on Pearson. Jane Doe stated the last occurrence of sexual contact was shortly before Oak Grove Police Department located them at the Petro Truck Stop. On January 12th, 2017, Task Force Officer Burnett interviewed Pearson at the Blue Springs, Missouri Detention Center. Post-Miranda Pearson admitted that he had began a relationship with Jane Doe through the Internet. Pearson stated he first met Jane Doe on a website named P-I-M-D. Pearson stated that Jane Doe had told him she was 15 years old. Pearson told Jane Doe he was traveling to Denver, Colorado, and he could take her there. Prior to arriving in Springfield, Pearson stated he had previously traveled through Nebraska, Iowa and Texas and then to Missouri. Pearson stated he resided in Silverthorne, Colorado. Pearson admitted that his KIK user name was

1

2

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

darklestat lwp and his email address was dominicp2011@gmail.com. 1 2 Pearson stated he used his Samsung cellular phone with the number of 772-882-0148 to communicate on KIK. Pearson stated that he and Jane Doe discussed getting married when she was of age. Pearson admitted to picking Jane Doe up from Springfield, 5 Missouri. Pearson stated that when they arrived in Kansas City, 7 the went to Denny's and then stayed at Quality Inn for the night. Pearson stated that he did have sexual contact with Jane Doe. Pearson admitted that he touched Jane Doe's vagina and breasts 10 and that they engaged in oral and vaginal intercourse. Pearson 11 stated this occurred at the Quality Inn and in John's truck at 12 the Denny's parking lot. Pearson stated that after they left the 13 motel, they found a ride with a truck driver who dropped them off 14 at the truck stop on Interstate 70 where they were located by the 15 police. Pearson stated he took nude photographs of Jane Doe using his Samsung cellular phone. Pearson stated he took 16 17 approximately six nude images of Jane Doe, that is, sexually 18 explicit images of a child less than 18 years of age. On January 19 13th, 2017, Task Force Officer Burnett collected the Samsung 20 Galaxy J3 cellular phone. TFO Burnett verified that the Samsung 21 Galaxy J3 cellular phone was a Model SM J320VPP. Task Force 22 Officer Burnett confirmed that the cell phone was manufactured outside of the state of Missouri and would have had to cross 23 24 state lines. Forensic Analyst Costello examined Pearson's 25 Samsung cellular phone which also contained a 64 gigabyte Micro

SD card which is also manufactured outside the state of Missouri. CFA Costello was able to create a forensic image of the phone and examined the SD card. KIK was installed and the user was logged in as darklestat. There were 14 images of Jane Doe that were sexually explicit on the SD card. Five photos were taken on January 8th, 2017. The five photos had GPS data that showed that they were taken at the Quality Inn in Kansas City, Missouri, which is a location within the Western District of Missouri. images show Jane Doe raising her shirt and exposing a bra. close-up of Jane Doe's vagina and another close-up of Jane Doe's vagina with Pearson's fingers. And a picture of Jane Doe with Pearson's penis in her mouth. The other nine pictures were taken on January 10th and also show sexually explicit images. January 17th, 2017, Task Force Officer Burnett confirmed that the Quality Inn & Suites was located at 1051 North Cambridge Avenue, Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri. On February 23rd, 2017, Jane Doe was interviewed by FBI Child Forensic Interviewer Rachel Happel. Jane Doe stated that she had met Pearson on a chat site named ChatHour and that her screen name was tinykinzie, K-I-N-Z-I-E, and that they communicated via KIK. Jane Doe stated that she had used G.W. and J.W.'s phone because she did not have one. Jane Doe stated that she and Pearson messaged for a couple months and they had talked about sex and then he came to pick her up. Jane Doe stated Pearson had sent her some nude pictures and he had asked her to send some to him but she had stated no.

1

2

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Doe met with Pearson about 1:00 p.m. and Pearson's friend drove them to Kansas City and dropped them off at Denny's. Jane Doe said she considered Pearson her boyfriend and he knew she was only 13 years of age. Jane Doe stated that Pearson touched her vagina with his hands while they were at the truck stop in the driver's den and that she had also touched his penis. After they left the truck stop they went to the motel where they had sexual intercourse. Jane Doe was shown thumbnails of the photos located on the SD card from Pearson's Samsung cellular phone and Jane Doe identified the female as her and the male as Pearson. And that would be the Government's evidence if the case went to trial.

THE COURT: The only other question I would have is -- I know you've indicated that the victim was 13 years of age. Is the defendant at least four years older than the victim and not the spouse of the victim?

MS. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. That is correct.

THE COURT: Mr. Pearson, you've listened to the evidence that the Government has submitted that they could present at trial to prove or establish your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Did you, in fact, do the things that they've stated for the record that they can prove?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis, you've had access to the Government's discovery file in this case, have you not?

MR. LEWIS: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And based upon your review of the discovery file, are you satisfied if put to proof, that the United States could make a submissible case as to all the elements pertaining to Counts One through Four of the Superseding Indictment?

MR. LEWIS: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There is an adequate factual basis for the pleas of guilty to Counts One, Two, Three and Four of the Superseding Indictment. I find that the plea is voluntary and did not result from force, threats or promises. Mr. Pearson, you are represented in this case by Mr. Lewis. Have you had enough time to talk with him about your case?

MR. PEARSON: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the advice that he's given you?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: The law requires me to ask you if this morning you are on any medication prescribed by a physician or any drugs or alcohol of any kind which would affect your ability to understand these proceedings?

MR. PEARSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Understanding that and the other matters that we've discussed this morning, is it your desire for the court to accept these pleas of guilty?

MR. PEARSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Miller, on behalf of the United States,

do you have any other record under Rule 11 that you think I need to make?

MS. MILLER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis, on behalf of the defendant, do you have any other record under Rule 11 that you think I need to make?

MR. LEWIS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will recommend the pleas of guilty be accepted and I will order a Presentence Investigation to be conducted by the Probation Office. That will conclude this matter.

(Court Adjourned at 9:56 a.m.)

,

> <u>/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker</u> Signature of transcriber

October 15, 2017
Date